

# Aura Validation Working Group Report (Lucien Froidevaux)

# AGENDA: Aura Validation Working Group Meeting

(L. Froidevaux, A. Douglass)

**MONDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2007, 1:30 – 5:30 p.m.**

- **Validation Status, Issues, Plans** **100 mins.**
  - HIRDLS J. Gille/B. Nardi [25 mins.]
    - MLS L. Froidevaux [25 mins.]
  - OMI M. Kroon [25 mins.]
  - TES G. Osterman [25 mins.]
- **Recent/New campaigns** **90 mins.**
  - Large Balloons: Kiruna, Ft. Sumner 2007 J. Margitan [10 mins.]  
and Table Mountain NO2 Intercomparison
  - WAVES D. Whiteman [10 mins.]
  - MOHAVE T. Leblanc [10 mins.]
  - UT/LS H2O validation issues K. Rosenlof / D. Fahey [10 mins.]
  - TC4 M. Schoeberl [10 mins.]
  - SAUNA R. McPeters [10 mins.]
  - CESAR P. Levelt [10 mins.]
  - ARCTAS D. Jacob [15 mins.]
- **AVDC Status** C. Retscher / B. Bojkov **10 mins.**
  - Discussion (data access, longer-term plans,...)** **5 mins.**
  - **Future goals / suggestions for the working group** Discussion **10 mins.**

# HIRDLS: Summary of quality of validation products

## Temperature:

P-Range: 1-300 hPa

Precision: 0.5K at 10-100 hPa; 1K @ 1 hPa (v2.02.07)

Accuracy:  $\pm 2$ K at 1-100 hPa

## Ozone:

Range: 1-100+ hPa (mid-high Lat), 1-50 hPa (tropics)

Precision: 5-10%

Accuracy: 2-10% at 1-10 hPa; biased generally low

5% high bias ~10-30 hPa

0-20% low bias, ~30-100+ hPa (mid & high latitudes)

## Nitric Acid:

Range: 10-100 hPa, 10-50 hPa (tropics)

Precision: 10-35% at 100-10hPa

Accuracy: ~10% (at 10hPa) to 30% (at 100hPa); biased low [ACE-FTS]

## Clouds/Aerosol:

Range: 400 hPa- 10 hPa

Correlation with other instruments:

SAGE & HALOE (cloud-top pressure): 0.85 - .93

CALIPSO horizontal cloud scale: 0.99

Extinction retrieval successful at rate 70%: needs improvement

## Vertical Resolution: 1-2 km

## Status of HIRDLS data products

1. Temperature, Ozone, HNO<sub>3</sub>, clouds – Released [v2.04.09]
2. H<sub>2</sub>O, CFC-11, CFC-12 – Not ready to release;  
now most promising for future releases
3. CH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, ClONO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> – Not ready to release.

# Current MLS Validation Issues / Needs

Product	Validation Issue / Investigation	Data Source Needs / Reason
Temperature and GPH	Some retrieval biases, 2-3K vertical structure issue.	
H <sub>2</sub> O (and relative humidity)	“Kink” in MLS profiles near 30 hPa. Low bias near 200 hPa vs CFH and Vaisala; high bias for VMR > 500 ppmv.	Resolve aircraft in situ vs CFH profile diffs. → improve validation robustness.
N <sub>2</sub> O	Possibly extension of vertical range (to 150 hPa).	
O <sub>3</sub>	Extension of vertical range (UT); more MOZAIC and UT studies.	More sonde matches under enhanced O <sub>3</sub> conditions in <u>UT</u> , for better statistics.
CO	Resolve UT biases (P ≥ 215 hPa); extend data to higher P.	More UT CO data; mainly via MOZAIC (+ sat cross-val.) + ARCTAS?
HCl	Better understand diffs. in 2007 Kiruna campaign; also, some small notches in MLS profiles.	Depends on Kiruna data investigation (Kiruna 2?).

# Current MLS Validation Issues / Needs

Product	Validation Issue / Investigation	Data Source Needs / Reason
<b>HNO<sub>3</sub></b>	MLS low bias (~1 ppbv or 10 – 30%)	
<b>ClO</b>	Improve retrievals for negative biases at P > ~ 30 hPa.	Possibly more validation in polar vortex
<b>BrO</b>	Extend vertical range; reduce biases and latitudinal oscillations	
<b>OH, HO<sub>2</sub></b>	Better understand residual diffs. in comparisons	Balloon flight in Ft. Sumner, Fall 2008 (for reason at left)
<b>HOCl</b>	Continuing validation; extension of vertical range (into LS)	More balloon flight data to resolve differences between model & data (balloon, MLS)
<b>Cloud ice</b>	Broader multi-sensor comparisons (IWC, IWP, cloud fraction) + enhanced MLS retrievals	Improved “climatology” of cloud particle characteristics

# Current OMI Retrieval / Validation Needs

Product	Retrieval Needs	Validation Needs
<b>Nitrogen dioxide</b> <b>(total and trop. NO2 column)</b>	Surface in-situ and Vertical Profiles in polluted regions Effective Cloud Fraction and Effective Cloud Height Surface Albedo data at OMI spatial resolution	Ground Truth Standard in-situ detection networks Total NO2 column network of remote sensing standard (e.g. direct sun) Tropospheric Columns in polluted regions
<b>Ozone</b> <b>(total and trop. O3 column, O3 profiles)</b>	Total O3 columns and profiles at high SZA (SAUNA-III) Surface Albedo data at OMI spatial resolution	Continuation Brewer network More Double Brewers at high lat. and in SH SHADOZ balloons, trop. ozone lidar, MaxDOAS
<b>Aerosols</b> <b>(AOD and SSA)</b>	Microphysics (type, size, chem, phys, distributions) Aerosols type statistics Surface Albedo data at OMI spatial resolution	Continuation Aeronet network Airborne campaigns gathering aerosol microphysics statistics (in particular, polluted regions)

# Current OMI Retrieval / Validation Needs

<b>Product</b>	<b>Retrieval Needs</b>	<b>Validation Needs</b>
<b>Sulphur Dioxide</b> <b>(total SO<sub>2</sub> column</b> <b>[PBL, 5 km, 15 km])</b>	Profiles in polluted regions and regions of outflow Emphasis on PBL Volcanic plume tomography Simultaneous observation of aerosols and SO <sub>2</sub> profiles Surface Albedo data at OMI spatial resolution	Double Brewer instrum. Advanced Double Brewer SO <sub>2</sub> algorithm MaxDOAS instruments for total column and profiling Aircraft observations of plumes (volcanic and industrial)
<b>Clouds</b> <b>(effective fraction</b> <b>and height)</b>	Cloud model (LER, MLER, plane parallel) Surface Albedo data at OMI spatial resolution	More comparisons of cloud fraction by sat-sat comparisons Effective Cloud Height by ground radar / lidar
<b>Minor trace gases</b> <b>(total BrO, HCHO,</b> <b>CHO-CHO columns)</b> <b>(slant OCIO column)</b>	Surface Albedo data at OMI spatial resolution	MaxDOAS instruments Satellite data

## Current TES Validation Issues / Needs

Product	Validation Issue	Data Source
<b>L1B Radiances</b>	Radiometric stability, Emissivity issues over cold surfaces	Future Scanning-HIS flights (ARCTAS?)
<b>Temperature, Water Vapor</b>	Improving nadir retrievals	More CFH sondes, particularly in clear-sky ocean conditions timed with Aura overpass (Closure experiments)
<b>Nadir Ozone</b>	High bias in troposphere	More high latitude sondes (ARCTAS?)
<b>HDO</b>	Lack of validation data	Unknown
<b>Methane</b>	High bias	Profile Information (150 to 500 hPa) DACOM (ARCTAS)

# TES Future Validation Analyses

- High bias in nadir ozone, improvement in nadir temperature profiles
  - Use current set of sonde measurements
  - TES V004 data → 2008
- Continued validation of limb products
- HDO, Methane
- Nadir ozone in the stratosphere, limb ozone using MLS, HIRDLS

## Recent/New campaigns (Overviews)

### - Large Balloons: Kiruna, Ft. Sumner 2007 and Table Mountain NO<sub>2</sub> Intercomparison

J. Margitan

> Kiruna, Sweden balloon campaign

2007 Jan 24: FIRS2/SLS/Ozone

2007 Feb. 22: MkIV (ascent data only – balloon burst at float)

Flights deep in very cold, perturbed vortex

Some recent comparisons have been produced [also, *Stachnik et al.* presentation]

> 2007 Sep. 22 Ft. Sumner, NM balloon campaign

MkIV/SLS/FIRS2/BOH/Ozone

31 hour flight (but no FIRS2 data due to malfunction)

### - WAVES

D. Whiteman

Many coordinated measurements from Beltsville area; clean and polluted conditions

WAVES 2006 (June 27 – August 12, 2006)

WAVES 2007 (July 14 – August 8, 2007)

Sondes (includes PTU, ozonesondes, CFH); Microwave Radiometer, 7 lidar systems

O<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, aerosols, Temperature data; coordinated with A-train overpasses.

- Some Vaisala sonde calibration issues vs CFH

- Precipitable water: AIRS and TES biased high vs MWR (and GPS)

- Some airborne lidar data also mentioned for TES/CALIPSO validation

(H<sub>2</sub>O, aerosol variability)

Some Aura results included as part of several validation papers (JGR special issue)

## Recent/New campaigns (Overviews)

### - MOHAVE

T. Leblanc

*MOHAVE-1* campaign (October 2006)

> to assess the measuring capabilities of Water Vapor Raman lidars (part of NDACC)  
5 lidars, 50+ PTU sondes, 10 CFH sondes, 2 GPS, 1 microwave,...

→ wet bias of Raman lidars versus CFH above ~12 km

*Major Finding: Fluorescence in lidar receiver optic fiber, can be removed by blocking.*

*Also, Miloshevic's empirical correction to Vaisala RS92 seems to work well.*

*MOHAVE-2* campaign (October 2007) planned to now refine comparisons, check sensitivity limits (lidars probably need more power, etc... to measure higher up)

Note: Short-term variability in H<sub>2</sub>O observed by lidars

→ complicates Aura validation (some good results anyway, on-going)

### -UT/LS H<sub>2</sub>O validation issues

K. Rosenlof / D. Fahey

*2 main topics of current interest*

> Establishing the frequency and temperature dependence of supersaturation.

Various datasets indicate persistent  $S > 1.2$  inside and  $S > 1.6$  outside clouds

Causes under investigation...

> Establishing instrumental accuracy at low water vapor values and low temperatures

Intercomparison campaign of water vapour measurement techniques to be held in the AIDA Chamber in Karlsruhe, Germany October 8th - November 2nd, 2007;  
for wide range of T, P, H<sub>2</sub>O will be tested (with/without aerosols, ice particles).

## Recent/New campaigns (Overviews)

### -TC4 (Costa Rica; mid-July to early August 2007)

M. Schoeberl

3 aircraft + sondes

- > ER2 - mostly full participation - but landed before Aura overpass
- > DC8 - mostly full participation - bulk of Aura validation
- > WB57 - Only 3 CR flights near the end of the mission - landed before overpass.

Good validation data despite mission problems - SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, Ozone

Not as much TTL data as we would have liked

Workshop early next year

### - SAUNA

R. McPeters

For total column O<sub>3</sub>, satellite measurements agree within 2-3% globally

Differences at low sun, high column amounts, high reflectivities, etc.

Need to verify the accuracy of GB measurements for satellite validation purposes

*SAUNA: March-April 2006 ; SAUNA 2: February-April 2007*

Combined network instruments: Dobsons, Brewers, DOAS, sondes and LIDAR

- > Conclude that data from double Brewers should be used for Aura O<sub>3</sub> val at high SZA
  - > The state of the network calibration (Brewer and Dobson) is uncertain
  - > With improved GB calibration, differences between OMI and GB most probably due to ozone X-sections uncertainties; high spectral resolution X-sections required which can be used by both satellites and ground-based instruments

**- CESAR**

**P. Levelt**

- > NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> campaign in May 2008, Cabauw, The Netherlands (along with the EUCAARI IOP campaign – clouds and aerosols)
- > Also intend to play a part in the AMFIC project (SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> in China) with the Mini-MAX DOAS

Continuous measurements at De Bilt (KNMI) and / or Cabauw of NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>

**If you wish to get involved, please contact Pieterneel**

**- ARCTAS**

**D. Jacob**

***Planning two 3-week deployments:***

***April 2008 (Fairbanks/Thule), July 2008 (Edmonton)***

Three NASA aircraft: DC-8 (in situ chemistry and aerosols), P-3B (radiation and in situ aerosols), B-200 (aerosol remote sensing & CALIPSO validation)

***Science Theme 1: Transport of mid-latitudes pollution to the Arctic***

***Science Theme 2: Boreal forest fires***

***Science Theme 3: Aerosol radiative forcing***

**DC-8: in situ chemistry and aerosols**

**Likely Payload: O<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry, BrO, mercury, NMVOCs, halocarbons, SO<sub>2</sub>. HCN/CH<sub>3</sub>CN, actinic fluxes, aerosol composition/concentrations/properties, remote ozone and aerosol**

**For relevant (high lat. April / July) Aura Validation needs/wishes, please contact Daniel with requests**

***[see also Aura needs lists above (some still to come)]***

- **AVDC Status**

- > ***Support continuing (Aura teams like this!...)***

- > HDF5 read/write in final testing for correlative data

- > Focus shifting to long-term validation

- Collect and update ground datasets

- Data completeness

- Continue ESA/NDACC efforts

- Share datasets and coordinate submissions

- Proactive on AVDC side but need support from cal/val and instrument teams

- **Please provide inputs to AVWG chairs + Aura instr. val. reps. on future campaign wishes/needs, and AVWG activities & structure in the future**